

7

Mr. *J. B--*'s *Partiality Detected*;
OR, AN
ANSWER
TO
Some Remarks
[*Privately Dispersed*]
On Mr. *French's* Collection, &c.
IN A
LETTER to a MEMBER of
PARLIAMENT.

To which is Prefixed
A LETTER to Mr. *J. B---*, the supposed (if not
real) Author of the *Remarks*.

By *Matthew French*, M. A. Junior-Fellow of *Trinity College*, and
Curate of *St. Warbrough's Church, Dublin*.

First they (i. e. the Nonconformists) began with tender and meek Petitions, proceeded to Admonitions, then to Satyrical Remonstrances; and at last having taken an Estimate of their Strength, durst threaten, first, the Bishops; and then, the Queen and Parliament. Isaac Walton's Life of Mr. Hooker.

DUBLIN: Printed by *A. Rhames*, at the Back of *Dick's*
Coffee-House in *Skinner-Row*, 1709.

To Mr. Joseph Boyse.

S I R,

WHEN you Publish'd your *Postscript*, which you allow to be *no full and comprehensive Answer* to the Papers Written by Mr. Drury and Me, against your Sermon, Intituled, *The Office of a Scriptural Bishop*, from 1 Tim. Ch. III. v. 1. you were pleas'd to send me one of them by the Printer: I then acknowledged to the Messenger the Favour, and the great Advantages I had by it. By way of Return to this Obligation, I then sent you an *Advertisement* of a Collection, and not long after the Collection it self, of your several *Scurrilous and Abusive Reflections* on the Civil and Ecclesiastical Government, Test-Act, Canons, Ceremonies, Common-Prayer, Convocation, Bishops, Clergy and particular Persons of the Church as by Law Establish'd. This was done some Days before that Collection was publickly to be had in the Booksellers Shops. I expected this Behaviour of mine would have been the Occasion of a mutual Presenting of our Writings to each other. But I find you have not only disappointed my Expectation, but given me very just Reason to complain to the World, and in this manner to Publish the Reason of my Complaining.

You have lately Printed some *Remarks* upon the Collection, and privately dispers'd 'em, wherein, without any just Reason (as the following Papers do shew) you treat me after a most *Scurrilous and Abusive manner*. Was it not possible for you (who can manage Controversial Matters with that Clearness and Temper, that are the Genuine Characters of the Wisdom that is from Above; which is first Pure, and then Peaceable) to manage your *Remarks* with the same Temper? Why did you not at least endeavour it? Or, whatever Degree you have of that Temper (as indeed some Degree of it is seen in your Writings against Emblyn, an Arrian, or Socinian) How came you so wholly to forget it, and lay it aside in your *Remarks*? Yours, as I have good Reason to believe they are. Where is your most *comprehensive Charity*? Where is the Peaceableness of your Temper? Does the Gospel of Christ, and the Nature of his Religion, allow any such Effects, or Fruits of it, as these? There is not Truth, nor Sincerity, nor Judgment, nor Charity, nor Fair Dealing in Mr. Fr—. He trusts more to the Strength of his Party, than his Arguments. His Method of treating his Opponent is Dishonourable. He, one of the Inferior Clergy, and so inconsiderable a Member of the Establish'd

‘ Church endeavours to set up an *Inquisition Tribunal*. If he ever read ‘ and consider’d the Passage he quotes, I cannot excuse him from the ‘ Guilt of down-right *Falshood* and *Prevarication*. He is guilty of gross ‘ *Prevarication*. He has not Judgment enough to distinguish between ‘ Reason and Railing. With what Judgment and Honesty. [*i. e.* with ‘ no Judgment and Honesty he has made up this Invidious Collection.] Pray examine, whether such Expressions are agreeable to the mild and gentle Disposition of the Gospel, which ought to be in all those who make ‘ *credible Profession of it* ; much more in him who pretends to be a *Scriptural Bishop*. ‘ I suppose none will give Mr. B—
 * Rem. p. 2. any Thanks for treating me with such Expressions, who (as Mr. B— allows *) in the Collection have muster’d up so many plausible Arguments for the Cause of Protestant Dissenters, without offering one Syllable in Answer to ’em. I do not envy you all the Advantage you may make of such plausible Arguments ; but every considerate Person will think you might have saved your self the Labour (if any to you) of all this Scurrility, and Answer’d me in the mildest Way.

You have indeed Answer’d the Collection the shortest way. You make Remarks only upon some few Passages, about Thirteen, and over-look all the rest, which are many more in Number, and equally, if not more Scurrilous and Abusive. ‘ Those several Passages you have made ‘ your Remarks upon, Mr. Fr— is desir’d (you say) at his Leisure to ‘ clear his own Sincerity in : And those Passages which Mr. Fr— has ‘ fairly collected out of Mr. B—’s Writings, he would do well to Publish another Book, to shew the World wherein the Scurrility and Abusiveness of ’em lies. This, Mr. Boyse, I think the Author of the following Paper has sufficiently done — who is Mr. French, He tells you his Name, lest he should be guilty of the same Fault you are chargeable with. You know what Judgment you passed upon the Author of the Remarks on your Preface and Sermon (being, in your Opinion, very Abusive) because he conceal’d his Name. ‘ ’Tis some Argument that the Author had not quite abandon’d all
 * Postscript, ‘ Remains of Modesty and Discretion, that he has thought fit
 p. 158. ‘ to conceal his Name *.

My Design is never to deserve any such Character from you, by concealing my Name when I Write against you ; and at the same time using Expressions so Scurrilous and Abusive, so contrary to Truth, and the Nature of the true Religion, as are found in your Remarks on the Collection Published by,

S I R,

Your Humble Servant,

Matthew French.

The following Expressions are taken out of Mr. Fr---s Collection; and to them, as well as to many others, Mr. B--- has given no Answer in his Remarks.

CHAP. I. Numb. 3. (Speaking of the coming to the Sacrament, and receiving it after the usual manner of the Establish'd Church, Mr. B— says) 'Nor is it reasonable to put Men under so strong Temptation to Dissemble with GOD in so Solemn Vows, for the Preservation of their Secular Interest. And for those Dissenters that scruple Kneeling, nothing could be more Unchristian, than to deny them the Lord's Supper without their Compliance with that Posture, and yet Punish them for not Receiving it in their Parish-Churches, which was no better than to Punish them for their being afraid of Sinning. Rem. p. 133.

Numb. 5. 'I would gladly know, who are most chargeable with taking up little Differences, to make them the distinguishing Badges of a Party; they that Arbitrarily Impose such unnecessary Terms of Ministerial and Christian Communion, as our common Lord never required, and so make their own dividing Hedge and Enclosure in his Sacred Vineyard; or they that only scruple Compliance with these suspected Terms, and desire that the common Rule of Christianity may be the only Rule of Conformity. [And again,] One would think the former [i. e. the Conformists] look more like Persons resolv'd to separate themselves, especially when they contrive such Racks for Mens Judgments and Consciences, as the Act of Uniformity contains. Rem. p. 140.

Numb. 9. 'If Bishops exercise Church-Government against the Will of Christ, to the notorious Detriment of Souls (as by unjust Silencing of Faithful Pastors, when their Labours are highly conducive to the Churches Good, by imposing on the People Sinful Conditions of Church-Communion, by obtruding unqualified Pastors on the People against their Consent, &c.) To separate from such Bishops so far as to disobey these unjust Commands, is no Separation from our Lawful Governors; and is no more a Rebellion in the Church, than 'tis a Rebellion in the State to disobey one that Usurps a Power he never receiv'd from the King, and which he exercises against the Laws and Interest of the Kingdom. Let. p. 17, 18.

Numb. 20. 'Nor can we altogether excuse those that turn the Holy Eucharist, which is the common Symbol of Christian Communion, into an Engine for advancing a State-Faction, and endeavour to confine the common Table of our Lord, by their Arbitrary Inclosures, to a Party. 'Tis too evident, that Religion is hereby too far debased to serve mean and unworthy Purposes. Sermon, Vol. I. p. 330.

Canons.

Chap. II. Numb. 4. ' All those Ecclesiastical Canons are such *sinful Precepts of Men*, as are *inconsistent with the great Rules for Unity and Concord*, for *mutual Forbearance and Charity*, &c. which our Saviour has laid down. And I should be very glad if his Lp. could *clear the Establish'd Church from the Charge of such Human Inventions as these*. For 'tis these are chiefly the *fatal Engines of our Divisions*. Rem. p. 6, 7.

Common-
Prayer.

Chap. III. Numb. 1. ' A Book which obliges us to this *Uncharitable and Schismatical Practice* ; for the Book obliges us to Administer the Sacraments only in the manner there prescribed ; and by the Canons of the Church, those Ministers incur the *Danger of Suspension* that do otherwise. Let. p. 28.

Convoca-
tion.

Chap. IV. Numb. 1. ' They are as *unfit to represent the Judgment of all the Pastors* (not to mention the People) in England, as the Council of Trent all the Churches in Europe. Let. p. 58.

[This Council of Trent Mr. B— calls] ' An Assembly chiefly compos'd of such *Italians*, as were the Pensioners of the Court of Rome, and they were so far destitute of any true Freedom of Debate, that the Court of Rome at the first made themselves Masters of all their Debates, by getting that Clause past, that nothing shou'd be Debated, but what the Pope's Legates propos'd. [And afterwards.] ' Instead of the Holy Spirit's presiding among 'em, the Court of Rome did with a Thousand Artifices, with all the exquisite Turns of Human Policy, with Bribes and Pensions, with Flattery and Menaces, turn and wind that Assembly at their own Pleasure, Form'd all their Decisions for 'em, and Baulk'd all the Designs of such a Reformation, as all Europe Groan'd for. Let. Conc. Infal. p. 38.

Numb. 15. ' An Assembly compos'd of Men, whose Office is not only an *Usurpation*, but such as renders true Church-Government impossible, and whose Interest and Grandure inclines them to keep up the *Divisions and Corruptions which they have made*. Let. p. 58.

Bishops.

Numb. 18. ' Unless we suppose the greatest part of them to have been *Scandalous Non-Residents*, and to have learned the *Modern Practice* of spending the greatest part of their Time out of their own Diocess, and at distance from their pretended Charge. Sermon. p. 410.

Numb. 19. ' We dare not put down the necessary Government of so many true Scriptural Bishops, to advance the unnecessary Grandure of one Unscriptural one. Sermon. p. 441.

Clergy.

Numb. 27. ' They [speaking of such as believe Christ hath committed the Government of his Church to Diocesan Prelates] may long talk of *Catholick Unity and Peace* ; but they are next to the Papists, the most dangerous Enemies of it : For they have too deep a Tincture of their Humour, who make a mighty Noise about Unity ; but when we come to enquire where it lies, they mean a Subjection to a certain Gentlemen that dwells at Rome ; or at best, to that Clergy who adhere to him in all the Corruptions of Christian Doctrine, Worship and Practice. Let. p. 64, 65.

COLLECTION.

CHAP. I. Numb. 14. 'It seems a certain and unavoidable, tho' pernicious Consequence of such Spiritual Courts being entrusted with the Sentence of Excommunication to enforce all their Decrees, that the most awful Judgment on Earth, is like to be made an ordinary Process to Lacquey up and down for Fees. Rem. Appen. p. 171.

Chap. II. Numb. 1. * Does he (i. e. the Bishop) mean an Unity, &c. in Human unnecessary Canons, &c.

* Mr. B— says in his Remarks, that after the Words ['Or does Mr. M. mean by Catholic, &c.] follow these Words cited by Mr. Fr—, 'Does he; &c. Whereas he has omitted the Sentences between 'em, viz. 'Or does he mean an Unity in all the Errors, Idolatry, Superstition, and corrupt Practices that have by degrees crept into the Church, and over-run any considerable part of it, &c.

Numb. 2. Speaking of the Necessity of an uninterrupted Succession of Ordination, Mr. B— has these Words:] 'He that asserts this, may next assert, that God has left it to their (i. e. the Pastors) Pleasure, whether the People shall be Saved or Damned; and that it's better they should be Canonically Damned, than Uncanonically Saved. Let. p. 37.

REMARKS.

This Mr. Fr— makes to be one of Mr. B—'s Scurrilous and Abusive Reflections; whereas in truth those are not Mr. B—'s, but my Lord Bacon's, &c. [Answ. The Sense of the Passage in my Lord Bacon, is intirely different from that of Mr. Boyse's. My Ld. Bacon says, 'For this (i. e. Excommunication) to be us'd Irreverently, and to be made an ordinary Process to Lacquey up and down for Fees; how can it be without Derogation to God's Honour? But Mr. B— says, 'It seems a certain, &c.

Civil and Ecclesiastical Courts.

This is spoke to none but Dean Manby. [Answ. What then? Mr. B— (to pass this over) has in many Passages reflected on the Canons of the Establish'd Church in the same Letter; and he may therefore be well supposed here to direct a Reflection on the Establish'd Church, to the Person of Dean Manby.

Canons.

The Passage Numb. 2. in the same Chapter, is unfairly cited, without any mention of the particular supposed Case which it refers to, and if consider'd with that Reference, the Expressions are an undeniable Inference from that Supposition. [Answ. I still maintain, there is in that place of Mr. B—'s Writings, a Reflection on the Establish'd Church, and do appeal to his Writings.

Numb.

Numb. 8. [Afterwards Mr. B— calls it (i. e. the 5th Canon)]

That Rigid and Sour Canon.

‘M. Fr— never tells his Reason, ‘that ’tis a Canon that denounces ‘all Excommunicate that affirm ‘the Congregations of Protestant ‘Dissenters in this Kingdom to be ‘true and lawful Churches. [Answ. Congregations of Protestant Dissenters from a Church Establish’d in a Kingdom, (in which all things necessary to Man’s Salvation are taught, and nothing contrary to it is imposed, as in the Churches of England and Ireland) are not True and Lawful Churches: And therefore to say the Canon is Rigid and Sour, is a Scurrilous and Abusive Reflection on it.]

Ceremonies

Numb 8. ‘Communion can much ‘less be expected in unnecessary ‘Human Additions to Christianity, ‘and we must not confound Communion with Subjection; the former ‘may be due, where the latter is ‘not. Let. p. 1.

‘He supposes Mr. B— to speak ‘of the Ceremonies of the Establish’d Church, what is manifestly ‘spoken of the Romish Church. [Answ. This is a Mistake, and I appeal to Mr. B—’s Writings.]

Common-Prayer.

Chap. III. Numb. 2, 3. ‘Such ‘as are at present forced, through ‘their Ignorance and Inability to ‘confine themselves to such Forms, ‘are like lame People, that cannot ‘go without the Help of Crutches. ‘There is no doubt, but that the ‘Ignorance and Weakness of some ‘may need such Crutches as Set-Forms. Rem. p. 48, 50.

‘He cites as Mr. B—’s Scurrilous and Abusive Reflections what ‘Mr. B— has borrow’d from Bishop Wilkins, and cites his Authority for: And those Passages ‘themselves do not refer to Publick Prayers, but to Private Devotions. [Answ. Bishop Wilkins’s Expression refers only to Private Devotions, but Mr. B— applies it to both.]

Numb. 4. [Speaking of the Words of the Institution of Baptism, Mr. B— says] ‘Our Service-Book has groundlessly alter’d ‘and transform’d em into a Prayer. Rem. p. 66.

‘He applies to Baptism what is ‘spoken of the Lord’s Supper. [Answ. Mr. B—’s Words are applicable to both; but if apply’d only to the Lord’s Supper, they contain an Abusive Reflection upon the Common-Prayer. Numb.

Numb. 6. [On our Worship] 'A
'confused Noise in a Christian As-
'sembly, too like that of a Dover-
'Court, where ('tis said) all
'Speak, and none Hear. Rem. p.
51.

'He mentions that as a Reflection
'upon their Worship in general,
'which is spoken only concerning
'that particular Practice of all the
'People's repeating the Prayers a-
'loud after the Minister. [Answ.
It was never alledged by Mr. Fr---
as a Reflection upon our Worship in
general. But Mr. Fr--- finding that
Mr. B--- did allow this Practice of re-
peating the Prayers aloud after the
Minister not to be Unlawful; he
therefore judg'd it a Reflection on
the Worship of the Establish'd
Church, to say that even in this
one Particular, it brought in 'a
'confused Noise in a Christian As-
'sembly, too like that of a Dover-
'Court, where it is said, all Speak,
'and none Hear.

Chap. IV. Numb. 2. 'Accord-
'ing to these Principles, 'tis in the
'Power of a Convocation to Damn
'many Thousand Souls, by Suspend-
'ing an Orthodox, and Substituting
'a corrupt Ministry. Let. p. 54.

'What is expressly apply'd to the ^{Convocati-}
'Case of a Popish Convocation, and of ^{ons.}
'a Council of Arrian Bishops, Mr. Fr--
'with his usual Sincerity cites as spo-
'ken of our Convocations. [Answ.
It is actually applied to our Con-
vocations, and so will appear to
be to any one that reads and con-
siders Mr. B---'s Argument, and
the Reasons of it in that place. I
appeal to Mr. B---'s Writings.

Numb. 3. 'If the Laws of
'Christ must determine who are
'Lawful Pastors; then all Diocesan
'Prelates must be cashier'd
'from the Number of Lawful Pa-
'stors. Let. p. 6.

'Mr. Fr--- cites these as Mr. B---'s
'Words, 'If the Laws of Christ, &c.
'whereas Mr. B---'s Words are, 'If these
'be the Laws of Christ (as it were easie
'to prove, if that were deny'd) then all
'Diocesan Prelates must be cashier'd from
'the Number of Lawful Pastors, unless
'they can prove their Office instituted by
'Christ. [Answ. Let the Expression
be as Mr. B--- would have it, then it
contains two Abusive and Scurrilous
Reflections. First, It supposes that the

Office of Diocesan Bishops cannot be prov'd to be instituted by Christ. Secondly, That supposing this could not be prov'd, yet Diocesan Prelates are not Lawful Pastors.

Numb. 4. *'The uncharitable Censures of Proud Contentious Prelates.* Let. p. 16.

'What Mr. B— speaks concerning such Bishops as Pope *Victor*, who Excommunicated the *Asiatick* Churches for not keeping *Easter* on the same Day that he did; Mr. Fr--- with his wonted *Candour* cites as spoken of the Bishops of the Establish'd Church, to whom the Passage has no Reference at all. [*Ans^w*. This is a Mistake; and Mr. Fr--- appeals to Mr. B---'s Writings.

Chap. I. Numb. 19. *'How soon might the Honour of our Nation revive, and our Arms become a Terror to our Enemies Abroad, by an easie Triumph over those at Home [i. e. the Jacobites in Great-Britain, and the Irish Papists then in Rebellion †.] If Abilities for Service, and a steady Zeal for the Protestant Religion and the present Government, were the only Standard of such as are admitted to the Civil and Military Employments: All other narrow Politicks will but hazard our Ruin; because they will but divide, and so weaken us.* Vindic. of Mr. Osb. p. 28.

Upon this Passage Mr. B--- has offer'd something that seems very plausible, and may deceive such as do not duely consider it. I shall examine what he has offer'd; and give a large, and (I think) sufficient Answer to it.

From the evil Consequences of this Reflection, Mr. B--- pretends to defend and secure himself by the Authority of His late Majesty King *WILLIAM*; who, in his First Speech to both Houses of Parliament, Anno 1688, does (as Mr. F--- rightly observes) use these Words. 'I hope you will leave room for the Admission of all Protestants that are willing and able to serve. This Conjunction in my Service, will tend to the better uniting you amongst your selves, and the strengthening you against your Common Adversaries. And again, by way of further Vindication of himself, Mr. B--- insists upon the Protest which the Six Lords mention'd by him in the *Remarks*, did Enter against the

† Note, These Words Mr. B— has Inserted in his *Remarks*, but were not in his Book.

Test-Act. It is easie to judge what Consequences Mr. B--- would draw from hence : Nay, he does draw this Consequence, That if the Expressions cited by Mr. Fr--- are *Scurrilous* and *Abusive*, the Charge does equally extend to His late Majesty King *WILLIAM*, and the Six Lords, who protested. I think I may with all Reason and Justice deny this Consequence, and conclude, That Mr. B--- has not with any good Grounds produced their Authorities in Vindication of himself, which will appear by considering the whole Case. His Majesty King *WILLIAM*, of *Glorious Memory*, was pleas'd to use some Expressions in his First Speech, *Anno* 1688, which gave occasion to the bringing in this Clause to be part of a Bill : [' That any Man should be sufficiently Qualify'd for an Office, Employment or Place of Trust, who ' within a Year before, or after, his Admission or Entrance thereinto, ' did Receive the Sacrament of the Lord's-Supper, either according to ' the Usage of the Church of *England*, or in any other Protestant Congregation.] Upon the Debate, whether such a Clause should be part of a Bill, it passed in the Negative ; and Six Lords by Vertue of their Priviledge, according to the Usage of Parliament in such Cases, and upon such Occasions did Enter their Protest before the Act (of which this rejected Clause was intended to have been a Part) receiv'd the *Royal Assent* and *Sanction*. Now comes Mr. B—, and (when this Bill was passed into an Act, and confirmed by the *Royal Assent* and *Sanction*, even a Year afterwards, 1689) speaks in a Scurrilous and Abusive Manner of this same Act of Parliament. Is not this to think himself wiser than the Wisdom of the Nation ? Is not this a direct Opposition to the Establish'd Government ? Had those very Lords themselves, who Enter'd their Protest, Publickly and in Print, so injuriously reflected upon this, now an Act of Parliament, as Mr. B--- did, I demand, would they not hereby have been obnoxious to the Censure of that Noble House ? And could they themselves have offer'd by way of Vindication the Passage in the King's Speech before, or their own Protest after, the Bill was passed into an Act ? I think then, Mr. Fr--- may (to use Mr. B---'s own Words) ' advance the Charge of Scurrility against those Expressions of Mr. B--- in the Passages refer'd to, and yet not see that ' Mr. B--- will have the Honour to suffer in very good Company. Nay, I may add, it is still a greater, and a new Argument of Mr. B---'s *Opposition* to the Establish'd Government, now again to say any thing against the Continuance of the Sacrament-Test (as he does in the Passage following, or even to publish the Reason, which mov'd the Six Lords to Protest ;) when it has been declar'd by the Chief Governor in this Kingdom, That it was neither Her present Majesty's, nor his, Intention to take it off. And if Mr. Fr--- did omit that Passage in His Majesty's Speech †, which gave occasion to a Clause, rejected by a great

† Mr. B— does not refer to any Place where that Excellent Maxim of His Majesty (to use his Words) might be found.

Majority in the House of Lords ; I think Mr. B--- might have spar'd those Words wherein he so bitterly reflects upon Mr. Fr---. ' Now since ' M. B---'s Words contain nothing in 'em, but what the late King had ' so publickly declar'd to be his Sense in that matter, Mr. Fr--- should ' either have forborn the Branding 'em for Scurrilous and Abusive, or ' involved the late King in the same Censure. But he had so much ' Wit in his Anger, as to foresee that would ill agree with the Vene- ' ration he pretends for the *Glorious Memory* of that Prince ; and ' therefore he wisely, tho' not very fairly, dropt that part of the Para- ' graph.

There are two other Reflections upon which Mr. E--- remarks ; but they being only on private Persons, Bp. *Stillfleet* and Mr. *Drury* †, Mr. Fr--- pass'es 'em over at present ; still maintaining, that Mr. E--- ' has reflected abusively on 'em both.

You may by this brief Specimen see, that Mr. Fr--- hath made up the *Collection* with *Judgment* and *Honesty* ; and had Mr. B--- really believ'd the contrary, as he is pleas'd to affirm it in the Conclusion of his *Remarks*, why did he take so Clandestine a Method of Publishing those *Remarks*, dispersing 'em privately (as far as I can find) only amongst the *Dissenters* ; and not exposing 'em to publick Sale or View. Nay, further, Why did he not put his Name to his *Remarks*, as an Author conscious of his own *Candour*, *Sincerity*, *Truth*, and *Fair Dealing*, would and ought to have done.

† In the Errata of the *Collection* you find these Words : After Mr. *Drury* add [or rather Mr. *Dodwell*, or both :] Which Mr. B--- took no Notice of in his *Remarks* : And therefore, without Reason, says, I apply the Reflection only to Mr. *Drury*.

TO THE AUTHOR OF THE REMARKS

On some Passages in
Mr. J. Boyse's SERMONS, &c.

S I R,

THOUGH I certainly run the Hazard of being *Scurrilously Abused* by Mr. Boyse, as you have been already for your *Remarks* on his *Sermon*, and particularly his *Preface*; yet being perswaded, that in the Opinion of all considerate and understanding Men, his Words are *no Slander*; and that the following Expressions do, at least, deserve some *Remarks*, I have taken the Liberty to Communicate my Thoughts to you upon 'em. If you are at present employ'd in writing more *Remarks*, as I may conclude you are, because Mr. Boyse gives you abundant Matter for 'em, I beg your Pardon for thus preventing you; who, I doubt not, would have taken these Expressions into your Consideration. I am a Person who have a true Relish for the plain and unadulterated Truth of the Word of God (and for the use of such Mr. B— professes to write his Sermons:) But if the Expressions I here cite, do deserve that Character, I must own, I have lost my Taste and Relish. If you, Good Sir, who have recommended Mr. B's Sermons, as effectual Receipts in two Cases. (that of the Pope in a Man's Belly, and the Bishop's dipping his Foot in the Childrens Milk.) If you can

can find a Remedy for the Recovery of my *Relish* (the Loss of which is a *Sore and Reprobating Malady*) pray let me know by *Advertisement* where you live, that I may wait on you in Person ; for to have a *Mental Communion* with you will not suffice.

Vol. II. p. 48. [Speaking of *Intemperance and Lust*, Mr. B— uses these Words.] ‘ How many such leud Wretches are there, that die *Martyrs* ‘ in the Devil’s Cause. Here we have *Intemperance and Lust dignified with the Stile of Martyrdom*.

Page 51. [Speaking of the State of the Ungodly Sinner, from the Parable of the *Prodigal Son*, Mr. B— says of such a one.] ‘ He does as ‘ it were, turn *Fellow-Commoner* with the Swine. I can assure Mr. B—, that the Gentlemen in the College call’d *Fellow-Commoners*, are not *Ungodly Sinners* ; nor are the *Fellows, Swine*, unless he thinks Mr. Fr— such a one, because he has lately wallowed in the *Mire* of his Writings.

Page 61. [Speaking of the Evil Consequences of Wickedness, Mr. B--- says.] ‘ Run the visible Hazard of being a Beggar, or a *Fail- ‘ Eird*. This last Word *sounds very harsh*, and is unbecoming a *Gentleman* in his ordinary Conversation, much more a *Preacher* in his premeditated Discourses to God’s People.

Page 181. [Speaking of the Efficacy of Divine Grace, Mr. B--- uses these Words.] ‘ It turns an *Incarnate Devil* into an *Incarnate Angel*. The Word *Incarnate* ought to be us’d in *Sermons* with greater Reverence.

Page 181. [Speaking of Love, and the Degrees of it to others, Mr. B--- says.] ‘ Should we not turn the *Tables* ? Another Expression of the same Signification had been more Grave and Serious ; and the Allusion in this is not so Elegant, as to deserve to be recommended to our particular Observation, by putting it in different Characters.

Vol. I. p. 236. [Mr. B--- having cited these Passages of Scripture, *Gen. IV. 1. and Numb. XXXII. 22. Sin lies at your Door : Sin will find you out*, says.] ‘ And ’tis no impertinent Observation of a Pious Divine, ‘ upon the comparing these two Passages ; that in the *Former*, *Sin* is ‘ compared to a *Mastiff* that lies behind the Door, ready to fly upon ‘ any that open it : But in the *Latter* ’tis compared to a *Blood-hound*, that ‘ traces the Sinner in his Foot-steps ; and never leaves the Scent, and ‘ Track,

‘ Track, till at last it finds him out, and seizes upon him. I will not call into Question the Piety of this Divine, whoever he was (I would gladly know his Name ;) but I must say his Judgment upon comparing these two Passages, does not concur with any other Critick, as I can find : Nay, if there be an Allusion in this Place to a *Ma-*
stiff, the meaning is very different from that of this *Pious*
Divine *. Mr. B---, sure, believes a Man may be a *Pious*
Divine, and yet no great *Critick*. * *Pool's Syn-*
opsis Criticor.
in loc.

Page 247. ‘ One well compares this World to a *Decoy-Pool* ; *Satan* is the
 ‘ Keeper of the *Pool*, the Vanities of this World are the *Decoys*, that inveigle
 ‘ and draw such Shoals of Sinners after them ; but when they think to en-
 ‘ joy ’em, these Vanities, like the *Decoys*, all dive away, and are gone ;
 ‘ and *Satan* drawing his Net, they are all taken. Notwithstanding
 Mr. B---’s Commendation of the Comparison of this *One*, whoever he
 is (I would gladly know his Name) I think the Comparison is very
 mean and low, and altogether unnecessary, when Mr. P--- uses
 two such Elegant Similitudes out of *Eccles.* IX. 12. in the same
 Place, as he thought proper to his present Purpose, whereas
 Mr. B--- there applies to the Vanities of the World, or our own
 Lusts, what is spoken of the time of our Death, or of the Providence
 of God.

F I N I S.
